May 13, 2011

Mr. Kip Gjerde, P.E.
US Bureau of Reclamation
Great Plains Regional office – USBR
P.O. Box 36900, Mail Code: GP-4500
Billings, MT 59107-6900


Dear Mr. Gjerde,

The Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) serves the interests of water suppliers across a six county area in South Texas, including Cameron, Hidalgo, Starr, Webb, Willacy and Zapata Counties. Having realized the need to diversify water sources from the over-allocated and drought sensitive Rio Grande, RGRWA coordinates amongst multiple sectors to pursue sustainable, long term water supply solutions. RGRWA also recognizes the importance of comprehensive, quality planning data when developing sustainable water supply solutions.

On behalf of RGRWA and its members, please accept this Lower Rio Grande Basin Study Proposal for consideration under the Bureau of Reclamation’s WaterSMART Basin Study Program for FY 2011. The opportunity to partner with the Bureau of Reclamation will provide a valuable planning tool to RGRWA and all of the Lower Rio Grande Basin.

If you have any questions or need additional information please contact me at (956) 682-3481.

Sincerely,

Jim Darling,
President

Enclosure

FOR THE RIVER – FOR THE FUTURE

Administrative Agent: Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council, Kenneth N. Jones, Executive Director
311 North 15th Street – McAllen, Texas 78501-4705
Telephone: 956-682-3481 Fax: 956-631-4670
Lower Rio Grande Basin Study

1. Location of Study Area
The basin study area encompasses 122,400 square miles along the U.S./Mexico border and includes the Lower Rio Grande River basin from Fort Quitman, Texas to the Gulf of Mexico. Water supply/demand projections and water management recommendations will focus on eight counties in South Texas area known as Region M (Figure 1).

![Lower Rio Grande Basin](image)

Figure 1: Lower Rio Grande Basin (adapted from IBWC)

2. Total study cost:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reclamation Share</th>
<th>Non-Federal Share</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$198,948</td>
<td>$213,850</td>
<td>$412,798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reclamation Share

3. Cost-share partners contact information:
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA)
311 N. 15th Street, McAllen TX 78501-4705
956.682.3481

Ken Jones, Executive Director
knjones@lrgvdc.org

4. Basin Study partners contact information:
Basin Study Partners are the same as the cost share partners. Additional RGRWA member entities serving as basin study partners are listed in Appendix A.

5. Reclamation contacts:
Kip Gjerde, Great Plains Regional Planning Officer
gjerde@usbr.gov
Thomas Michalewicz, Special Projects Director – OTAO
tmichalewicz@usbr.gov
Collins Balcombe, Program Coordinator – OTAO
cbalcombe@usbr.gov
Jeff Gerber, Study Manager - OTAO
jgerber@usbr.gov
Subhrendu Gangopadhyay, Technical Lead - TSC
sgangopadhyay@usbr.gov

6. Supporting stakeholders (letters of support may be attached)
Supporting stakeholders are listed in Appendix A. Letters of support are attached in Appendix B.
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ABSTRACT
An urgent need exists to reduce dependence on the Rio Grande River and address a current and projected water supply deficit within the Lower Rio Grande Basin, Texas, which is one of the fastest growing and most economically depressed areas in the U.S. The Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) and its member entities, in collaboration with the Texas Region M Planning Group, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), Texas Water Development Board, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), and International Boundary and Water Commission, is proposing a basin study to evaluate the impacts of climate variability and change on water supply imbalances within an eight county region along the U.S./Mexico border in south Texas (Cameron, Willacy, Hidalgo, Starr, Zapata, Jim Hogg, Webb and Maverick Counties). This information will build upon existing, well recognized data/models to perform a systems reliability analysis and formulate a range of alternatives to meet short, mid, and long-term planning objectives, particularly during times of drought. Of notable interest are regional supply options, including seawater and brackish groundwater desalination, as well as importation of fresh groundwater from sources outside the study area. Alternatives will then be evaluated and compared using screening criteria developed in collaboration with project partners. Results of this analysis will be used to make recommendations on preferred alternatives to meet established planning objectives. Furthermore, the methodology used in this study could serve as a template for other regional planning groups in Texas to follow as they search for ways to develop sustainable water supplies and manage risk associated with a changing climate.

STUDY PROPOSAL SELECTION CRITERIA
1. The extent and consequences of existing or anticipated imbalances in water supply and demand
1.a. Magnitude and frequency of water shortages
The magnitude and frequency of water supply shortages within the study area continues to be severe. According to the Region M Water Plan\(^1\), the population in the eight county region is expected to grow from **1.7 million in 2010 to 4 million in 2060**. This represents a growth rate of 2.8 percent per year, which is seven times faster than the state’s average growth rate of 0.4 percent per year.

Over the past hundred years, Texas has experienced severe water shortages/droughts in 1925, 1953-1956, 1971, 1988, 1999-2000, 2008-2009, and 2010-2011.\(^2\) The increasing frequency of shortages, along with complications surrounding the shared international management of the drainage basin, has greatly reduced the dependency of surface water in the Rio Grande River. According the 2010 Region M Water Plan, the current net water supply shortage totals **368,356 acre feet per year (af/yr)**, resulting in 25 percent of water demands being unmet in 2010. This shortage is expected to reach a staggering **592,084 af/yr by 2060**, which would result in 35 percent of water demands being unmet. Figure 2 provides a summary of supply and demand imbalances from 2010 through 2060. These deficits are expected to be exacerbated by drier conditions, reduced run-off, and increased temperature resulting from climate change.\(^3\)

---


\(^3\) Secure Water Act Section 9503c Reclamation Climate Change and Water, 2011.
1.b. Known and projected demands for all types of water uses

Figure 2 above provides a summary of current and projected demands by water use sectors from 2010 to 2060. The two largest uses of water are irrigation and municipal supply. Current irrigation demands total 1,163,634 af/yr, which account for almost 80 percent of all demands in the region. Current municipal demands total 288,323 af/yr, which account for most of the remaining 20 percent of uses. Municipal demands are expected to significantly thwart irrigation demands in the future, with irrigation demands experiencing a 20 percent decline and municipal demands experiencing a 20 percent increase by 2060.

1.c. Nature of imbalances - water quantity and water quality

The water supply in the study area is severely limited in both quantity and quality. The extent to which supplies are limited from a quantitative standpoint is discussed above. The extent to which supplies are limited from a quality standpoint are driven primarily by discharges from upstream sources, surface run-off, and seasonal pumping rates in the Rio Grande River. Because the river flows through intensively farmed and urbanized areas, it is subject to a number of water quality challenges. As required under Sections 303(d) and 304(a) of the federal Clean Water Act, the TCEQ identifies impaired water bodies. According to the draft 2010 Texas 303(d) List (February 5, 2010), the Rio Grande within the study area below both Falcon Dam and Amistad Reservoir has been listed for bacterial contamination. The Lower Rio Grande Valley is actually a delta that gently slopes away from the Rio Grande River, beginning approximately 85 miles upstream of the mouth of the Rio Grande, and fanning out to include approximately 100 miles of the Gulf Coast. The Arroyo-Colorado River watershed is situated within the delta of the Rio Grande, comprising a large portion of the study area along the lower Rio Grande. The Arroyo-Colorado Watershed Partnership, a coalition of public and private organizations and concerned individuals, developed the Arroyo Colorado Watershed (ACW) Protection Plan, a comprehensive watershed-based strategy to improve water quality and aquatic and riparian habitat in the Arroyo-Colorado. The ACW Protection Plan is designed to address impairments and concerns identified in the Texas Water Quality Inventory and 303(d) List. The implementation period for Phase I of the ACW Protection Plan is 2006-2015, subject to revision and modification every five years in coordination with revisions made to the Rio Grande (Region M) Regional Water Plan. An evaluation of regional water supply options as part of this basin study would consider watershed protection plans and, to the extent that regional options are

---

4 Adapted from Region M Plan
implemented in the future, help alleviate stressors on the quality of both the Rio Grande and Arroyo-Colorado river systems.

1.d. Severity of potential consequences for not addressing imbalances in supply and demand

The impacts of not addressing the staggering water supply and demand imbalances, both current and future, in the Lower Rio Grande River basin are severe. The study area is home to 27 irrigation districts and a multi-million dollar crop and citrus industry that drives both the local and national economy. The annual value of crops and citrus grown in the study area is estimated at **$50 million and $200 million**, respectively. Texas is the third largest citrus producer and fourth largest sugarcane producer in the U.S., most of which is grown in the study area. Other prominent crops include cotton, sorghum, and corn. Irrigation water rights in the study area are junior to municipal and industrial rights (M&I), and as such are subject to proration during supply shortages. This can have devastating impacts on agricultural uses and the local economy when shortages occur. For instance, the 2009 drought resulted in interrupted water diversions for some irrigation districts with junior water rights, which resulted in a 49 percent loss of acreage and **$19 million in losses** for farmers in parts of the study area. In general, when agricultural shortages occur, costs to the local economy are have been estimated to be about **$135 million and a loss of 4,130 jobs annually**. These adverse economic impacts would have **environmental justice** implications as well. The study area contains a disproportionate number of persons living below the poverty level when compared to the rest of Texas (35.7 percent vs. 15.4 percent). In addition, the median household income in the area is $23,489, well below the state average of $39,927.

The consequences of water supply imbalances extend well beyond adverse impacts on the economy of the region. Imbalances are and will continue to have adverse impacts on the sensitive ecological communities that depend on the Rio Grande River and associated riparian habitat. The **Lower Rio Grande Valley National Wildlife Refuge and Wildlife Corridor**, administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Texas Parks and Wildlife, respectively, cover 91,000 acres in the region, with plans to expand to 132,000 acres. The study area is located within a major confluence of two flyways for migratory birds and waterfowl and is home to the **World Birding Center**, which is a top worldwide destination for bird watching. Furthermore, **sixty-nine rare, threatened, or endangered species** are supported by these protected areas. All of these sensitive resources will be subject to increased stressors in the future as water supplies become more constrained by increased demand and climate change.

2. The extent to which Federal involvement is needed due to the nature and complexity of the issues involved

The issues facing the Lower Rio Grande River basin are extremely complex, ranging from a multi-national to local scale. First, because the study area is shared by both the **U.S. and Mexico**, numerous issues are presented both politically and technically. Flows within the Lower Rio Grande River are dependent upon reservoir operations and run-off emanating from both the U.S. and Mexico, which is complicated by issues relating to required reservoir releases pursuant to stipulations set forth in the 1944 U.S.-Mexico Water Treaty. Therefore, **Federal involvement is needed to collaborate with entities from both countries** to collect data necessary for climate variability modeling. Reclamation will solicit assistance on this issue from the International

---

Boundary and Water Commission, and their counterpart agency, la Comisión Internacional de Límites y Aguas, which make up the bi-national commission that manages operations and usage of the shared Rio Grande Reservoir system.

**Complex and controversial issues also exist on a more local scale between the M&I and agricultural users.** Because the agricultural sector holds about 90 percent of the region’s water rights and can account for up to 80 percent of total withdrawals from the river, future M&I demands will need to be met through allowances from the agricultural sector. This increased competition for water recently manifested itself in April 2011 when a Texas Senate committee recommended that lawmakers allow the City of McAllen to dissolve Hidalgo County Water Improvement District 3, one of the 27 irrigation districts in the study area. Climate change will likely exacerbate this competition by making less water available for agricultural uses, thereby placing even more pressure on proposed reallocations from agricultural to M&I uses. This situation provides a unique opportunity for Federal involvement as a neutral 3rd party to help evaluate the extent to which climate change could affect the reliability of existing infrastructure, and to formulate, screen, and recommend regional solutions that hopefully garner support from both sectors.

The Government Accountability Office (GAO) completed an evaluation in 2009 on water issues facing rural communities within regions along the entire U.S.-Mexico border, including the study area. The GAO Report focused on whether water and wastewater systems are adequate to meet the needs of economically distressed communities in the border region, namely colonias. According to the GAO Report, Federal efforts to meet drinking water and wastewater needs have been ineffective, in part due to lack of a comprehensive needs assessment in the border region and a lack of coordinated policies and processes between Federal agencies. Based on this information, it is clear that a unique opportunity exists for Reclamation to do its part in addressing concerns outlined in the GAO Report. This basin study proposes to (1) engage all Federal agencies that have a nexus to water issues within the study area; (2) perform a system reliability analysis on infrastructure to deliver water to both big and small communities; and (3) conduct an evaluation on regional supply alternatives. The goal is to conduct a basin-wide study on the lower reaches of the Rio Grande River that serves as a template by which other regions along the border can follow. Reclamation alone, with its expertise in climate science and water resources planning and management, is best suited to get involved and facilitate this effort.

Finally, the issue of climate change has been very contentious in Texas, and few entities have taken strides to account for climate variability in their water resources planning efforts. In addition to politics, this is partly due to a lack of understanding about the complex and seemingly disjointed and inaccurate nature of climate variability models and projection data. *Reclamation is a recognized leader in evaluating the climate/water management nexus* and is thus situated to provide unique expertise in this area. Reclamation was a principle contributor on key publications on the climate/water nexus including *Circular 1331 – Climate Change and Water Resources Management: A Federal Perspective* (2009) and *Climate Research Needs for Long-Term Water Resources Planning and Management* (2011). Furthermore, as part of its mandate under Secretarial Order 3289 and Section 9503c of the SECURE Water Act, Reclamation is

---

undertaking a *West Wide Climate Risk Assessment* initiative and recently published *Reclamation Climate Change and Water 2011*, a report submitted to Congress on the status of climate science and climate change impacts on major river basins across the West, including the Rio Grande. Finally, Reclamation is already applying the latest climate science to several prominent basin studies across the West that include evaluations on the impacts of climate variability and change on water resources management. As a whole, the above activities demonstrate Reclamation’s ability to incorporate the **best available science and state of the art tools** into water resource planning activities for climate change adaptation planning and thus provide strong **justification for Federal involvement** on an issue that remains contentious in Texas.

3. **The existence and quality of data and models available and applicable to the proposed study**

The extent and quality of existing data and models in the study area is exceptional. The state of Texas is comprised of **16 regional planning groups**, one for each major river basin, including **Region M** (Rio Grande River). Each planning group is required to submit regional **water plans** to the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) every five years. The TWDB then roles up each regional plan into a statewide comprehensive water plan. The most recent round of regional water plans were completed in 2010, so **baseline data** considered critical to conducting a basin-wide water management assessment (i.e., population projections, existing versus 2060 supplies/demands by sector, water management strategies, cost estimates, financial capability, etc.) **are all new and based on latest modeling trends as of 2010**. The existence of high quality data is not limited to the U.S. The Region M Plan contains an **abundance of data on the Mexico drainage area including reservoirs, pool elevations, yield allocations, operations, current inflow/outflow records, etc.**, all of which will be useful in basin study modeling efforts.

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) has created **Water Rights Analysis Package (WRAP) software to develop a Water Availability Model (WAM)** for all Texas basins to help it adjudicate water rights in the state. The Rio Grande WAM, for instance, uses a monthly time-step under the 1940-2000 period of record to make predictions about the availability of river flows for future water rights using a variety of different data sources. The WAM incorporates operational constraints set by international agreements between the U.S. and Mexico, and it includes expected sedimentation and evaporation rates in Amistad and Falcon Reservoirs. One of the objectives of this basin study would be to enhance the Rio Grande WAM by integrating the effects of climate variability and evaluating impacts on water rights. This will be done by developing **monthly bias-corrected streamflow time-series using the Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) model** for the Rio Grande WRAP/WAM.

Furthermore, TWDB has developed a standardized three dimensional **Groundwater Availability Model (GAM)** for several aquifers across the state in an effort help ensure sustainable groundwater development. Each respective GAM is based on MODFLOW-96 code with grid dimensions of 1-mile by 1-mile. The Gulf Coast Aquifer GAM was built in 2003, in part for quantifying brackish groundwater, and has several layers - the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers, as well as the Burkeville and Catahoula confining systems. The model includes information on recharge, geology, water levels, aquifer properties, and pumping, and has already been calibrated to ensure that the model can reasonably reproduce past water levels and groundwater flows. With regards to a basin study, the Gulf Coast Aquifer GAM will provide an excellent tool for assessing **brackish groundwater** and incorporating impacts of climate change.
and assessing the **effects of pumping and droughts on groundwater availability** in the study area.

The amount of data available in the study area extends well beyond state regional water plans and surface/groundwater models. A countless number of local studies have been prepared by individual RGRWA member municipalities, irrigation districts, and other water purveyors. These include **drought contingency plans, system optimization reviews, water and wastewater master plans, feasibility studies, etc.** It is clear that an abundance of current, relevant data and well recognized models exist in the study area that can be drawn upon to conduct this basin study in the most efficient manner possible well within a two-year timeframe.

4. **The strength of any nexus between the Basin Study and a Reclamation project or activity**

A strong nexus exists between ongoing Reclamation activities and the proposed basin study. The **Lower Rio Grande Water Conservation and Improvement Act of 2002**, as amended (P.L. 107-351), provided Reclamation with the authority to fund 50 percent of the costs, up to **$55 million**, to plan, design, and construct **water conservation improvements on 19 irrigation districts** within the study area. Twelve of the nineteen projects executed cost-share agreements - eight are complete and under operation; four are under construction. The remaining seven districts elected to postpone construction until additional funding becomes available for the program. New legislation (H.R. 550) has been introduced into the 112th Congress to authorize an additional **19 projects with a $42 million** Federal cost-share. Reclamation also provides financial assistance to several irrigation districts and municipalities within the study area through the **WaterSMART Program** – a total of **13 grants** have been awarded, totaling about **$11 million** ($**3.5 million Federal cost-share)**. The amount of Federal funds flowing into the study area over the last decade is a testament to the urgent need that currently exists in this region to better manage and conserve water. One of the benefits of conducting a basin study on this region is that it would include a **comprehensive evaluation of regional water supply options** to meet the needs of entities that otherwise would continue to pursue “piece-meal” solutions to their individual water needs. This is not to detract from the value of implementing water conservation and improving water delivery efficiencies, but more needs to be done if the region hopes to address the existing 368,356 af/yr and projected 592,084 af/yr water supply deficits in the study area.

5. **The level of Stakeholders interest in and support for the Basin Study**

RGRWA members include 29 municipalities, 25 irrigation districts, 9 water supply corporations, and 10 other water supply entities. A number of these have signed letters expressing their support for the proposed project (attached in Appendix B). These members actively participate on several planning committees aimed at addressing water issues in the region. Assessing the future needs of the region, the limits of existing water sources, and how climate change can affect planning efforts is considered a vital step to a more secure water supply for all users in the region.

6. **Whether the non-Federal cost-share contribution exceeds the required 50 percent**

RGRWA proposes to fund 51.6 percent of the project costs through member contributions and RGRWA operating funds.
### STUDY OUTLINE

**Task 1.** The first task will consist of data collection and coordination among stakeholders to refine planning objectives, review the project and clarify roles and responsibilities. Results of this effort will be documented through execution of a Memorandum of Agreement between Reclamation and non-Federal partners. A Plan of Study will be developed jointly between cost-share partners that outlines study tasks and procedures to execute each task. Project Management is included under the cost estimates for each task. **Task 1 Deliverable:** (1) Signed Memorandum of Agreement and (2) Final Plan of Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 1 Summary</th>
<th>Reclamation</th>
<th>RGRWA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Inform stakeholders of planning tasks, solicit data requests, refine planning objectives</td>
<td>$5,616</td>
<td>$3,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Identify, obtain, review, and synthesize existing data sources</td>
<td>$6,552</td>
<td>$14,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Establish collaboration and data-sharing procedures – execute Memorandum of Agreement</td>
<td>$2,808</td>
<td>$1,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Develop draft and Final Plan of Study</td>
<td>$9,360</td>
<td>$9,360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Task 2.** This task will include hydrologic projections of water supply and demand, building upon existing data within the Region M Water Plan and relevant data sources. Future water supply projections will be made using the Climate Model Inter-comparison Project Phase 3 (CMIP-3) and the Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) model. The CMIP-3 archive provides a 12 kilometer resolution grid on a monthly time-series of precipitation and temperature from 1950-2099 for 112 climate projections. The VIC model is a spatially distributed hydrology model that solves the water balance at each model grid cell. In addition to simulating runoff response, VIC simulated water balance results will be used to estimate changes in snowpack and changes in the timing and quantity of runoff. Because VIC does not simulate groundwater-surface water interaction, changes in groundwater recharge and discharge estimates due to climate change will not be estimated using VIC. The area is currently not affected by groundwater recharge as it relates to runoff from snowpack in the Rio Grande headwaters. However, the middle and lower reaches of the Rio Grande are rainfall dominated regions, and changes in precipitation patterns could affect groundwater recharge events in the region. As part of this effort, temporal trends in precipitation and temperature and spatial distribution of precipitation and temperature across the study region will also be analyzed for all the 112 climate projections. The monthly-bias corrected streamflows will constitute the final set of future water supply projections that would be used in the water allocation model WRAP for Task 3. **Task 2 Deliverable:** Technical Memorandum that includes the monthly bias-corrected streamflow time-series for the Rio Grande WRAP/WAM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 2 Summary</th>
<th>Reclamation</th>
<th>RGRWA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Analyze of Existing Supplies</td>
<td>$1,404</td>
<td>$21,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Projections of Future Water Supplies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2.1 Develop daily VIC forcings for 112 climate projections, 1950-2099</td>
<td>$14,040</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2.2 Run VIC hydrology model to develop gridded monthly runoff time-series</td>
<td>$18,864</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2.3 Develop basin contribution areas for TCEQ control locations</td>
<td>$12,576</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2.4 Set up the VIC hydraulic routing model - flow fraction, flow</td>
<td>$14,040</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
direction and station location files for TCEQ control points

2.2.5 Run the hydraulic model for 112 projections to develop monthly routed flow $8,856 $0

2.2.6 Hydroclimate data analysis for Region-M - changes in precipitation, temperature, snowpack, runoff volumes and timing $1,404 $0

2.2.7 Develop monthly bias-corrected streamflow time-series for incorporation into the Rio Grande WRAP/WAM $14,040 $0

2.3 Analysis of Existing Water Demands $1,404 $8,705

2.4 Projections of Future Water Demands $9,360 $8,705

Task 3. This task will include an analysis of how existing water and power infrastructure will perform in the face of changing water realities. This will involve characterizing baseline system reliability and making updates by estimating the probability of inflows into reservoir systems under different climate change scenarios. Also, firm yield estimates generated by the WAM will be updated to account for climate change impacts on hydrology, such as reservoir evaporation. These results will be integrated with Region M Plan projections and account for decreased reservoir storage due to sedimentation. Sensitivity analysis of ET estimates obtained from the VIC model would be used to guide analysis of agricultural water demand impacts from changing climate. Task 3 Deliverable: Technical memorandum combined with Task 2 – includes reservoir yield, agricultural demands, WAM simulations.

Task 3 Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 3 Summary</th>
<th>Reclamation</th>
<th>RGRWA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Baseline System Reliability Analysis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.1 Probabilistic risk estimation</td>
<td>$11,232</td>
<td>$1,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Projections of Future System Reliability</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1 Estimation of changes in reservoir yield due to climate change</td>
<td>$14,040</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.2 ET sensitivity analysis not including adjustment of irrigation demands</td>
<td>$9,360</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.3 Adjustment of irrigation demands</td>
<td>$2,808</td>
<td>$7,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.4 WAM simulations</td>
<td>$5,616</td>
<td>$15,475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Task 4. This task includes soliciting stakeholder input and formulation of a range of alternative water management options to meet short, medium, and long-term needs in 2060. Alternatives identified in the Region M Water Plan will be considered, as well as other options that meet planning objectives. Non-structural options would be evaluated including water conservation, conversion of water rights, water rights leases, rate adjustments, usage rules, water markets, and efficiency gains through improved operations and management. Structural options also would be formulated, including irrigation delivery improvement projects, additional reservoir capacity, construction of new infrastructure, and development of alternative water resources such as seawater, brackish groundwater, and fresh groundwater from outside the basin. The needs of both large and rural communities will be addressed. Engineering will be reconnaissance level. Based on the information in the Region M Water Plan, it is assumed that brackish groundwater will be one of many options evaluated as a potential regional supply source. Evaluation of this alternative will require using well logs to modify the existing Gulf Coast Aquifer GAM to better quantify the distribution of brackish groundwater. Task 4 Deliverable: A technical memorandum that includes a brief description of all alternatives, including major infrastructure components, reconnaissance-level cost estimates, environmental considerations, and legal, regulatory, and public acceptance factors.
Task 4 Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reclamation</th>
<th>RGRWA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Formulate non-structural alternatives – reconnaissance</td>
<td>$2,808</td>
<td>$5,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Formulate structural alternatives – reconnaissance</td>
<td>$2,808</td>
<td>$5,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Modify Gulf Coast GAM</td>
<td>$1,872</td>
<td>$74,471</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Task 5. This task includes soliciting stakeholder input on the development of screening criteria and an evaluation/comparison of alternatives through a two-phased “trade-off” analysis. Phase I will include a preliminary screening based on reconnaissance-level engineering, and Phase II will include a detailed screening based appraisal-level engineering. Screening criteria will include (but are not limited to) capital and O&M costs; local, regional, and national economic benefits; legal and regulatory issues; environmental constraints/benefits; risk; completeness; and public acceptance. Special weighting factors will be given to options that improve environmental sustainability and incorporate renewable energy. Alternatives considered but eliminated will be documented. **Task 5 Deliverable:** (1) A technical memorandum that includes a detailed description of Phase II alternatives, including major infrastructure components, appraisal-level cost estimates, environmental considerations, and legal, regulatory, and public acceptance factors; (2) matrix that includes screening criteria, weighting factors, and ranked alternatives.

Task 5 Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reclamation</th>
<th>RGRWA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Develop screening criteria – stakeholder input</td>
<td>$3,744</td>
<td>$9,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Phase I screening analysis</td>
<td>$3,120</td>
<td>$5,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Phase II appraisal-level engineering</td>
<td>$3,120</td>
<td>$5,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Phase II screening analysis</td>
<td>$3,120</td>
<td>$5,373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Task 6. This task includes making findings and recommendations based on the results of the screening analysis in Task 5. It includes compilation of all deliverables into a draft Basin Study Report for Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) review by cost-share partners. **Task 6 Deliverable:** Final Report on the Lower Rio Grande River Basin

Task 6 Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reclamation</th>
<th>RGRWA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Make findings and recommendations</td>
<td>$1,872</td>
<td>$1,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Prepare Draft Basin Study Report for QA/QC</td>
<td>$4,680</td>
<td>$3,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Incorporate comments/make revisions</td>
<td>$4,680</td>
<td>$3,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Prepare Final Draft and Final Reports</td>
<td>$3,744</td>
<td>$1,834</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Budget Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reclamation</th>
<th>RGRWA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost Shares</td>
<td>$ 198,948</td>
<td>$ 213,850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX A: RGRWA MEMBERS

#### Counties
- Cameron
- Hidalgo
- Starr
- Webb
- Willacy
- Zapata

#### Hidalgo County Cities
- Edcouch
- Edinburg
- Elsa
- McAllen
- Mercedes
- Mission
- Pharr
- San Juan
- Weslaco
- La Villa
- Hidalgo
- Los Ebanos
- Penitas
- Sullivan City
- La Joya

#### Cameron County Cities
- Brownsville
- Harlingen
- Los Fresnos
- Primera
- San Benito

#### Willacy County Cities
- Lyford

#### Irrigation Districts
- Adams Garden Irrigation District No. 19
- Agua Special Utility District
- Bayview Irrigation District No. 11
- Brownsville Irrigation District
- Cameron County Irrigation District No. 16
- San Benito Irrigation District No. 2
- Cameron County Irrigation District No. 6
- Cameron County WID No. 10
- Delta Lake Irrigation District
- Engelman Irrigation District
- Harlingen Irrigation District Cameron County 1
- Hidalgo and Cameron Counties WCID
- Hidalgo County Irrigation District No. 2
- Hidalgo County Irrigation District No. 5
- Hidalgo County Irrigation District No. 6
- Hidalgo County MUD No. 1
- Hidalgo County WCID No. 18 Pharr
- Hidalgo County WCID No. 19
- La Feria Irrigation District Cameron County No. 3
- Laguna Madre Water District
- San Ygnacio MUD
- Santa Cruz Irrigation District No. 15
- Santa Maria ID Cameron County No. 4
- Siesta Shores WCID
- United Irrigation District
- Valley Acres Irrigation District
- Valley MUD 2
### Water Supply Corporations

- Arroyo WSC
- East Rio Hondo WSC
- Falcon Rural WSC
- Military Highway WSC
- North Alamo WSC
- Olmito WSC
- Rio WSC
- Sharyland WSC
- Union WSC

### Other Cities

- City of La Grulla
- City of Laredo
- Rio Grande City
- City of Roma
- Town of Fronton
- Town of Garceno
- Town of La Blanca
- Town of Progreso
- Webb County Utilities Department
- Zapata County Water Works
- Zapata County WCID HWY 16E
Mr. Jim Darling, President  
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority  
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council  
311 N. 15th Street  
McAllen, TX 78501  

RE: Letter of Support  

Dear Mr. Darling:  

On behalf of the United States Section of the International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC), I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande. This region is expected to experience significant growth with an increasingly large proportion of its water needs for municipal supplies.  

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.  

Sincerely,  

[Signature]  
Sheryl L. Franklin, P.E.  
Chief, Operations and Maintenance Division

The Commons, Building C, Suite 310 • 4171 N. Mesa Street • El Paso, Texas 79902  
(915) 832-4100 • (FAX) (915) 832-4190 • http://www.ibwc.state.gov
May 11, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the Adams Gardens Irrigation District Cameron County #19, I offer this letter of support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

Wayne Halbert
General Manager
May 4, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, Texas 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of City of Alamo, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Suppl Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Rudy Villarreal, Mayor
City of Alamo

420 N. Tower Road, Alamo Texas 78516 (956) 787-0006 787-6807 fax
“This institution is an equal opportunity provider, and employer.”
May 9, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, Texas 78501

Re: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling,

On behalf of the citizens of precinct 4 of Cameron County, I offer this letter of support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority proposal submitted to the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Study.” This effort is very important to the citizens of our county and region in order to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande. Water issues are important in any region however, with our proximity to Mexico and the need for water in our region water needs become a top priority for us.

Thank you for all your efforts in our common goal. Please let me know if there is anything more that I can do to help your efforts.

Very truly yours,

Dan Sanchez
Cameron County Commissioner Pct. 4
April 28, 2011

Mr. Kip Gjerde, P.E.
United States Bureau of Reclamation
Great Plains Regional Office-USBR
P.O. Box 36900
Billings, MT 59107-6900

Dear Mr. Gjerde:

It has come to my attention that the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) is applying for funds from the United States Bureau of Reclamation to fund an Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study to provide a diversity of water resources to a three-county area in South Texas. I would like to extend my full support for RGRWA’s application and express my personal advocacy to improving the Valley’s future water circumstances.

The work that RGRWA does for the Rio Grande Valley is extremely valuable to the people, and I feel that it is important that a study be conducted that would identify the feasibility and cost effectiveness of creating a regionalized approach to a long term sustainable alternative water supply for the area.

We will continue supporting RGRWA’s worthwhile efforts to improve the water circumstances of the community that increase the quality of my constituent’s day to day lives. Let me thank you ahead of time for your time to consideration, and I hope that you look favorably upon the RGRWA’s letter of intent to fund an Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study.

Sincerely,

Sergio Muñoz, Jr.
Texas State Representative, District 36
April 27, 2011

Jim Darling
President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

Dear Mr. Darling:

It has come to my attention that the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) has submitted a proposal to the United States Bureau of Reclamation to fund an "Alternative Regional Water Supply Project Feasibility Study". At this time I would like to express my full support of the proposal and I firmly believe that it merits funding.

This effort is extremely important to this region in order to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande. Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA's continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

René O. Oliveira
State Representative
District 37

ROO/ir
May 6, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an "Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study". This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

I fully support any request for funding submitted by the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority and for the RGRWA's continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability. Please know that my office stands ready to assist USBOR and RGRWA in any way available to me. Please do not hesitate to contact me, if I may be of assistance on this or any other matter.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Eddie Lucio III
State Representative
April 29, 2011

Mr. Kip Gjerde, P.E.
US Bureau of Reclamation
Great Plains Regional office - USBR
P.O. Box 36900, Mail Code: GP-4500
Billings, MT 59107-6900

Dear U.S. Board of Reclamation:

I am pleased to submit this letter of support on behalf of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) regarding their funds for an Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study. I strongly support the implementation of this environmental study in local governments and the education of the community.

Through this initiative, the RGRWA will be able to provide a diversity of water resources to South Texas. These studies are crucial to our area, especially with the incredible growth we have acquired over the last several years. The counties being served by the RGRWA are also projected to grow from 1.6 million to 3.9 million citizens by 2060.

For this reason, if awarded these funds, you can count on the Water Authority to provide, at a minimum:

- Geographic focus of the study.
- The water supply challenges that will create or lead to imbalances in supply and demand in our region.
- The risks to water supplies from changes in snowpack, timing and quality of runoff, groundwater recharge and discharge.

We commit to work with the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority for the study's implementation and success as they strive to help improve the environment and community in an innovative and effective manner.
Again, I gladly offer my support for the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority application to the U.S. Board of Reclamation. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact my District or Capitol office.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Armando "Mando" Martinez
State Representative, District 39
April 28, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President  
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority  
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council  
311 N. 15th Street  
McAllen, TX 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of East Rio Hondo Water Supply Corporation, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

B. J. Simpson  
President
May 6, 2011

Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, Texas 78501

Regarding: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darline

On Behalf of El Jardin Water Supply Corporation, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Valley Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the Unites States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande River.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely yours,

Gale Armstrong, Jr.
General Manager
April 25, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

Re: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of City of Harlingen, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Chris Boswell, Mayor
City of Harlingen
May 11, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the Harlingen Irrigation District Cameron County #1, I offer this letter of support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

Wayne Halbert
General Manager
May 10, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

Re: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the Hidalgo County Commissioners’ Court, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

Ramon Garcia
Hidalgo County Judge
ADDENDUM

This letter does not constitute a financial commitment from Hidalgo County; however, should the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) require any type of assistance for this project at a later date, Commissioners’ Court will consider your request.
April 27, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, Tx. 78501
Re: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of Town of Indian Lake, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

Barbara J. Collum
Mayor
April 26, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of La Feria Industrial Development Corporation, Inc; I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Sunny K. Phillip
Executive Director
April 25, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

Re: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the City of Los Fresnos, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

Polo Narvaez
Mayor
May 3, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, Texas 78501

Re: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the City of Lyford, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

Henry De la Paz, Jr.
Mayor of Lyford

HDLP/Im

"The City of Lyford is an Equal Opportunity provider and employer"
May 2, 2011

Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, Texas 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

I am writing to express the City of McAllen’s support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

Richard Cortez
April 26, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the McAllen Economic Development Corporation, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is critically important to our region.

The McAllen Economic Development Corporation is charged with attracting investment and jobs to the McAllen metro area. One of the most important aspects of our job is to insure our community has the infrastructure necessary to support this investment and jobs. One of the most critical infrastructure elements is a cost-effective, clean and adequate source of water. Currently, we depend on surface water from the Rio Grande River to supply our water requirements. With the continued rapid population growth and changing climate cycles, it does not make sense to depend upon only one source of this life sustaining resource. We strongly support this effort to identify alternative regional water supplies.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Keith Patridge
President & CEO
April 28, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

Subject: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of Olmito Water Supply Corporation, I submit this letter supporting the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an "Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study". This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande River.

Thank you for being proactive and submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA's continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

Victor G. Trevino
General Manager

xc: Olmito Water Supply Corporation’s Board of Directors
April 28, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

Re: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the Port of Brownsville, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

Eduardo A. Campirano
Port Director & CEO
April 26, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, Texas 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the Board of Directors of the Port Isabel San Benito Navigation District (PISBND), this letter supports the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is especially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande River System.

The PISBND wishes to thank the RGRWA for taking the initiative by submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding. More importantly for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability on such important issues as the Region’s future water usage as well as needs and solutions to a growing region.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Steven B. Bearden
Port Director
Port Isabel San Benito Navigation District

Board of Director:
Victor Barrera, Chairman
William Weekly, Secretary
Robert Ostos,
April 25, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, Texas 78501

Re: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the City of Primera, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Angela Ramirez-Gonzales
Mayor Pro Temp
May 3, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, Tx 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of Santa Cruz Irrigation District #15, I offer this letter in support of The Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an "Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study". This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA's continue efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

John R. Miller
May 2, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th St
McAllen, TX 78501

Re: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of Sharyland Water Supply Corporation, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply, the Rio Grande River.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

[signature]

Rudy L. Lopez
President
April 4, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling
President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, Texas 78501

Re: Regional Brackish Groundwater Facility

Dear President Darling:

The South Texas Water Utility Managers’ Association (STWUMA) voted unanimously at its January 26, 2011 Board of Directors meeting to support the initiation of an Alternative Regional Water Supply Development Study to include the need for a governmental entity with conservation oversight authority in the Rio Grande Valley. It is the STWUMA’s hope that the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) will pursue and financially support this endeavor. The STWUMA membership is composed of the Harlingen Waterworks, North Alamo Water Supply Corporation, Sharyland Water Supply Corporation, Valley Municipal Utility District Number Two, East Rio Hondo Water Supply Corporation, McAllen Public Utility Board, and Olmito Water Supply Corporation.

The vast majority of potable water produced in the Rio Grande Valley comes from the Rio Grande River. This sole source dependence is a weakness in the future development of the Rio Grande Valley. Brackish groundwater development is already proven as one viable alternative and regionalization is the most cost effective way to utilize any new resource. The STWUMA requests the full support of the RGRWA on this matter. As the foremost authority on regional water in the Rio Grande Valley, the RGRWA is obviously the entity to take the lead on this critical issue.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Roel Rodriguez, P.E.
President
April 27, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the South Padre Island Chamber of Commerce, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

Roxanne Guenzel
President/CEO
May 2, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President  
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority  
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council  
3115 N. 15th Street  
McAllen, TX 78501

Re: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the South Padre Island Economic Development Corporation, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority’s (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is extremely important to the entire region in order to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande River.

The South Padre Island Economic Development Corporation thanks the RGRWA for their continued efforts to face our challenges and ensure our economic sustainability here in the Rio Grande Valley region of Texas.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Darla Lapeyre
Executive Director
April 20, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, Texas 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling

On behalf of Eloy Vera, Starr County Judge, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Eloy Vera
Starr County Judge
May 3, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
c/o Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 North Fifteenth Street
McAllen, Texas 78501

Re: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of the City of Weslaco, with approval of its City Commission, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study.” This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

Miguel D. Wise
Mayor
April 26, 2011

Mr. Jim Darling, President
Rio Grande Regional Water Authority
Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council
311 N. 15th Street
McAllen, TX 78501

RE: Letter of Support

Dear Mr. Darling:

On behalf of Willacy County Drainage District No. 1, I offer this letter in support of the Rio Grande Regional Water Authority (RGRWA) proposal submitted to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) to fund an “Alternative Regional Water Supply Feasibility Study”. This effort is initially important to this region to identify alternatives to our surface water supply from the Rio Grande.

Thank you for submitting this proposal to the USBOR for funding and for the RGRWA’s continued efforts to ensure our economic sustainability.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Paul Greenhill
District Manager